## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CALIFORNIA, COLORADO,

CONNECTICUT, DELAWARE,

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, FLORIDA,

GEORGIA, HAWAII, ILLINOIS,

INDIANA, IOWA, LOUISIANA,

MARYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS,

MICHIGAN, MINNESOTA, MONTANA,

NEVADA, NEW JERSEY, NEW

MEXICO, NEW YORK, NORTH

CAROLINA, OKLAHOMA, NORTH

CAROLINA, RHODE ISLAND,

TENNESSEE, TEXAS,

VIRGINIA, and WISCONSIN, ex rel.

CATHLEEN FORNEY, CIVIL ACTION NO. 15-6264

Plaintiffs/Relator,

MEDTRONIC, INC.,

v.

Defendant.

## **ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 4th day of June, 2018, after considering the motion for summary judgment filed by the defendant (Doc. No. 64), the response in opposition filed by the relator (Doc. No. 69), the defendant's reply to the response in opposition (Doc. No. 70), the relator's supplemental brief (Doc. No. 75), the defendant's supplemental brief (Doc. No. 76), the record presented to the court by the parties, the arguments presented to the court at oral argument; and for the reasons set forth in the separately filed memorandum opinion; accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 64) is **DENIED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Edward G. Smith EDWARD G. SMITH, J.